NOT FOR PUBLICATION

[15]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MERCHANTS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 06-5395(FLW)

v.

OPINION

MONMOUTH TRUCK EQUIPMENT, : INC., DAVID W. MULLIGAN, JR., JACK : T. WILSON; FRANK R. SNYDER; : JAMES T. GRESKO; and DONALD T. : STILTON, :

Defendants.

cicidants.

WOLFSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presently before the Court is a "Motion" by Defendant Donald Allen Stilton ("Stilton") asking this Court to "Transfer" a State Court Proceeding in which he is a Plaintiff to the Federal Court. The Court has considered the moving and opposition papers and for the reasons set forth below, Stilton's "Motion" is denied.

I. DISCUSSION

On January 22, 2007, Stilton filed the instant Motion asking this court to "transfer" an action pending in Monmouth County Superior Court in which Stilton is the plaintiff to the Federal Court and consolidate it with a pending federal action in which Stilton is a defendant. 28 U.S.C. §1441 governs the removal of actions from state court to federal court. Specifically,

Case 3:06-cv-05395-FLW-TJB Document 24 Filed 02/28/07 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 147

section 1441 provides, in relevant part: "Except as otherwise expressly provided by Act of

Congress, any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United

States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the

district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such

action is pending." 28 U.S.C. §1441 (a)(emphasis added). In the instant matter, Stilton is

seeking the removal of an action from state court to federal court; however, Stilton is the plaintiff

in the state action and the removal statute expressly provides that removal can only be granted at

the Defendant's request. Indeed, based on the express language of the removal statute, this Court

need not even reach the underlying merits of Stilton's "Motion" and this Court will simply deny

Stilton's "Motion" to Transfer and Consolidate.

II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's "Motion to Transfer" is DENIED. An

appropriate Order shall follow.

Dated: February 28, 2007

/s/ Freda L. Wolfson

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

United States District Judge